

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.22165 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -263 Year- 2017 Thana -PIPRA District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

Chandan Prasad @ Chandan Kumar Son of Shivpujan Prasad Resident
of Village- Godhawa, Police Station- Muffasil Motihari, District- East
Champaran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 26-04-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 5.12.2017 in
connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 263 of 2017 for the offences
alleged under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated in connection with snatching of cash, Samsung Tab,
Biometric Device and motorcycle, on mere suspicion. The
petitioner has not been named in the F.I.R. and has been implicated
only on the extra judicial confessional statement of co-accused
Awadhesh Sahani and except this there is no other material to
connect the petitioner with the alleged occurrence. No
incriminating articles have been made from the possession of the
petitioner.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of
the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above
named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-



(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Motihari, East Champaran, in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 263 of 2017, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/BT

U		T	
---	--	---	--

