

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.69919 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2018 Thana- ANTI District- Gaya

Naresh Yadav, Son of Late Jageshwar Yadav, Resident of Village-
Kanchanpur, Police Station- Anti, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kamlendra Pd. Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Matloob Rab, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 19-12-2018 Heard Sri Kamlendra Prasad Singh, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Matloob Rab, learned Addl. Public
Prosecutor.

The petitioner, who is in custody in Anti P.S. Case No.
43 of 2018 registered for offence under Sections 384, 385, 387
of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 16, 18, 20 of the U.P.A.
Act, has prayed for grant of bail.

It was submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present
case. He submits that in this case, F.I.R. was lodged on
09-06-2018, however without any plausible explanation, same
was received on 12-06-2018 in the Court and, as such, it has
been argued that the petitioner deserves to be released on bail,
since it is a case of false accusation.



Learned Addl. Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer for bail. He has also drawn my attention to the statement made in paragraph-3 of the petition to show that the petitioner is also accused in number of cases.

Besides hearing, I have perused the material on record. Considering the seriousness of accusation as well as the fact that the petitioner is habitual offender, there is no need to extend the privilege of bail.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

nawalkrs/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

