

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.65885 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-592 Year-2016 Thana- DEHRI TOWN District- Rohtas

Abhinandan Kumar @ Rinku, Son of Kamala Singh, Resident of Village-
Choudhary Chowk, Police Station- Dalmiya Nagar, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank, Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vikramdeo Singh, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Ajay Kumar-1, APP.
Mr. Suresh Pd. Singh, Advocate.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 13-12-2018 The petitioner seeks bail in connection with T.R.No. 1999
of 2017 arising out of Dehri (Town) P.S.Case No. 592 of 2016
registered under Sections 120B, 379 and 408 of the Indian
penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is of withdrawing Rs.
85,000/- by stealing ATM cards and PIN of different persons It
appears that prayer of bail earlier was rejected vide order dated
22.06.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 18093 of 2017 and again
vide order dated 25.04.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 14377 of
2018 by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that
petitioner has remained in custody for two years and there is no
chance of conclusion of trial in near future.



Heard learned APP as well as learned counsel for the Bank.

Heard the parties. From perusal of report dated 03.12.2018, it appears that charge has been framed but in spite of several dates being given, the prosecution has not produced a single witness till date to record his evidence. In such view of the matter, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned SDJM, Dehri-On-Sone (Rohtas) in connection with T.R. No. 1999 of 2017 arising out of Dehri (Town) P.S. Case No. 592 of 2016 with condition that one of the bailors shall be a local person having sufficient immoveable properties within the jurisdiction of the court concerned and he shall appear before the trial court as and when required and in the event of failure to appear on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sujit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

