

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20575 of 2018**

Ashish Kumar, Son of Sri Hira Kant Pathak, Resident of Village & P.O. Pahsara, Babhangama, P.S. Nawkothe, District Begusarai, at Present Assistant Teacher Yogeshwari Sanskrit Madhya Vidhyalaya, Kalyanpur, District Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Special Director, Secondary Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
4. The Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
5. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
6. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
7. The District Education Officer, Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                    |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Suresh Kumar Ishwar, Advocate   |
| For the B.S.S.B      | : | Mr. S.S. Sundram, Advocate         |
|                      |   | Mr. Shashank Shekhar Jha, Advocate |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey -Aag15  |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 20-12-2018**

Petitioner's appointment as Assistant Teacher duly recommended by the Managing Committee by Annexure 5 dated 18.02.2015 is not being considered and decided by the Board.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that a direction should be issued to examine the petitioner's claim for appointment as Assistant Teacher in light of the decision in LPA No. 670 of 1999 and C.W.J.C. No. 6226 of 2016. The petitioner's representation (Annexure 7) dated 16.05.2017 is pending



consideration before the Board. It is also submitted by counsel for the petitioner that the District Education Officer has also made similar recommendation in favour of the petitioner. However, his claim is not being considered.

In view of the limited grievance raised by the counsel for the petitioner, this Court would direct that the claim of the petitioner be considered by the respondent no. 6 expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and appropriate orders may be passed in respect thereof in accordance with law.

Writ petition is disposed off.

**(Madhuresh Prasad, J)**

Prakash/-

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| AFR/NAFR          |  |
| CAV DATE          |  |
| Uploading Date    |  |
| Transmission Date |  |

