

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.60542 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -96 Year- 2017 Thana -PAROO District- MUZAFFARPUR

=====

1. Abhinandan Kumar @ Kallu Sahni @ Abhinandan Kumar S/o Shambhu Sahani, R/o Vill.- Chakbajo, P.S.- Saraiya, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Muzaffarpur B.P. No. 1253 of 2018 arising out of Paroo P. S. Case No. 96 of 2017, registered for offences punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per F.I.R., allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with others is of committing the crime of robbery on public highway and they intercepted the motorcycle of the informant and snatched his bag and three mobiles and thereafter, they fled away.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that no incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and the name of the petitioner transpires on the confessional statement of co-accused, Bhim



Sahni and the petitioner is in custody since 03.02.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muzaffarpur in Paroo P.S.Case No. 96 of 2017, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- iii) It is made clear that if petitioner found involved in any of the like offences, in future, prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

