

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Review No.404 of 2018**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 10416 of 2014**

=====

|                          |        |           |              |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|--------------|
| Smt. Namita              |        | .... .... | Petitioner/s |
|                          | Versus |           |              |
| The State of Bihar & Ors |        | .... .... | Respondent/s |

=====

**Appearance :**  
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, GA 7  
Mr. Gopal Krishna, AC to GA 7

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD**  
**ORAL ORDER**

**3      10-10-2018**                      Heard counsel for the petitioner and the respondent State.

Referring to annexure 2 counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not absent from the centre on the day on which inspection was done and as such the findings recorded in the order dated 14.08.2018 of the writ proceedings regarding her absence are error apparent on the face of the record. Records of the writ petition reveals that allegation against the petitioner are not to the extent that she was absent during inspection. The said position is not disputed by the respondent State.

In view of such situation, this civil review stands allowed

The writ petition may be listed for reconsideration.

**(Madhuresh Prasad, J)**

Prakash/-  

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

