

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18445 of 2018

- =====
1. Tarun Kumar Singh, Son of Sri Chandeshwar Prasad, Resident of Village- Matihari, P.O.- Dighwara, P.S.- Dariyapur, District- Chapra (Saran).
 2. Harendra Ray, Son of Prabhu Ray, Resident of Village- Saidpur, P.S.- Bighwara, District- Chapra (Saran).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home (Police), Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Inspector General of Police, Patna Region, District- Patna.
5. The Inspector General of Police, Gaya Region, District- Gaya.
6. The Inspector General of Police, Muzaffarpur Region, District- Muzaffarpur.
7. The Inspector General of Police, Darbhanga Region, District- Darbhanga.
8. The Inspector General of Police, Saran Region, District- Saran at Chapra.
9. The Inspector General of Police, Munger Range, District- Munger.
10. The Inspector General of Police, Nalanda Region, District- Nalanda.
11. The Bihar Staff Selection Commission through its Secretary, Patna.
12. The Chairman, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Patna.
13. The Secretary, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Md. Irshad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 30-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the
facts of the present case are identical to the case of C.W.J.C.
No. 11741 of 2018 (Arinjay Kumar & Ors. Vs. The State of
Bihar & Ors.), the aforesaid order squarely covers the issue



involved in the present case.

In such view of the matter, the concerned authority is directed to examine the facts, law and prayer of the case of petitioners and if it is found that present case is squarely covered by the aforesaid case, the same benefit should also be extended to the petitioners.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/Sunny

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	31.10.2018
Transmission Date	NA

