

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.70586 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-317 Year-2017 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

Rupesh Roy Son of Sudama Roy Resident of Village- Nathpur, Roy Tola P.S.
Narptanj Ditriect Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner seeks bail in Narpatganj P.S. Case No.317 /
2017 registered for the offence punishable under Sections
304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant is the uncle of the deceased who in his
written complaint has stated that his niece Sanju Devi was
married to the brother of the petitioner two years earlier and on
account of non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, she was being
tortured and ultimately killed by family members.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
petitioner resides separately and he has no concern with the
affairs of the family of the deceased or her husband. It has
further been submitted that niece of the informant died due to
medical complications. Charge-sheet has already been submitted



on 20.08.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Araria in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No.317 /2017, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

