

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.69855 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2018 Thana- MAKER District- Saran

-
1. Anu Nat, Son of Daharu Nat,
 2. Kali Nat, Son of Late Bilat Nat, Both are resident of Village- Wajitpur Bhoraha, P.S. Marhowra, Distt.- Saran.
 3. Nanhaki Nut @ Sunil Nut, Son of Tulsi Nut, Resident of Village Nautan, P.S.- Pachlakh, District- Siwan, At Present resident of Village- Olhanpur, P.S.- Marhowra, District Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Jagdhar Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 07-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

The petitioners, who are in custody, seek bail in connection with Maker P.S. Case No. 62 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 457/380 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against petitioners is of committing theft in the house of informant and looted away household articles, ornaments, mobile set and cash.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they are not named in the FIR and have been falsely implicated in this case only on the basis of suspicion. Charge sheet has



been submitted. Petitioners are in custody since 24.08.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate-XII, Saran, Chapra, in connection with Maker P.S. Case No. 62 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel their bail bonds.

(3) If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U			
---	--	--	--

