

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.310 of 2018

In

Letters Patent Appeal No.604 of 2016

=====

Satula Devi, aged about 74 years, W/o Shri Mahendra Prasad and D/o Late Rakshya Singh resident of 6H/22, Sector - 6, Bahadurpur Housing Colony, P.S. - Agamkuan, District - Patna, presently residing at House No. C - 1/21, Vasant Vihar, South West Delhi, Delhi - 110057.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Housing Board, 6, Mangles Road, Patna through the Managing Director.
2. The Managing Director, The Bihar State Housing Board, 6, Mangles Road, Patna.
3. The Estate Officer, The Bihar State Housing Board, 6, Mangles Road, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Arun, Advocate

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 06-10-2018

Re: I.A. No. 6182 of 2018

1. Present Interlocutory Application has been filed for



condonation of delay of 6 months and 22 days in preferring the review application.

2. Considering the averments made in the application in respect of prayer to condone the delay and as sufficient cause has been shown, delay of 6 months and 22 days in preferring the review application is hereby condoned and the main review application itself is heard today. I.A. No. 6182 of 2018 stands disposed of.

Re: Civil Review No. 310 of 2018

3. Present review application is preferred by the original writ-petitioner on the basis of the subsequent development which has taken place after the judgment and order passed by this Court dated 19.12.2017 in Letters Patent Appeal No. 604 of 2016 to review and/or recall the reasoned order passed by this Court in the aforesaid Letters Patent Appeal No. 604 of 2016.

4. The order passed by the Division Bench in L.P.A. No. 604 of 2016 is sought to be reviewed/recalled on the basis of the subsequent development and/or the subsequent policy dated 13th April, 2018. Aforesaid cannot be a ground to file review application to review and/or recall the order which was passed much earlier. There is no error apparent on the face of



the record. Hence, the present review application deserves to be dismissed and is accordingly dismissed.

(Mukesh R. Shah, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

P.K.P./-R.S.Sen

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	08.10.2018
Transmission Date	

