

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.47705 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -53 Year- 2018 Thana -BANMANKHI District- PURNIA

=====

1. Meera Devi, Wife of Parmod Yadav,
2. Ranjan Devi, Wife of Sanjeev Yadav, Both resident of Village- Durga
Tola Mohaniya, P.S.- Banmankhi, District- Purnea.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar Yadav

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Sanjay Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the

State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Banmankhi
P.S. Case No. 53/2018, instituted for the offences punishable
under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 324, 307, 504, 506, 379, 354B,
436, 327 and 427 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted
that both petitioners are ladies. There is land dispute between the
parties. In the written report, there is general and omnibus
allegation against these petitioners.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,
the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the
event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within



six weeks from today, in connection with Banmankhi P.S. Case No. 53/2018, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnea, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

