

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12961 of 2018

=====

Ranjeet Kumar Mehta, Son of Prakash Mahto @ Prakash Mehta, Resident of Village- Silwar Kala, P.S.- Mufassil, District- Hazaribagh (Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Department of Excise Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Gaya, District- Gaya.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Dist.- Gaya.
4. The Superintendent of Excise, Gaya.
5. The Officer-in-Charge Barachatti, P.S. Dist.- Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Sinha
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Manish -Sc5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)

3 19-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

This application has been filed seeking provisional release of the vehicle (Pick – Van Tata Motor) bearing Registration No. JH02AT-3554 seized in connection with Barachatti Excise P.S. Case No. 95 of 2018 registered under Sections 30 (a) and 56 (Gha) of the Bihar Prohibition & Excise Act, 2016. It has been stated that from the vehicle in question 560 liters illicit liquor have been recovered. It is submitted that the confiscation proceeding for the vehicle in question is pending.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the vehicle



is lying under open sky under the Police Station and if release is not allowed the vehicle is likely to become a junk and in such circumstance, the State is not going to gain anyway in confiscation proceeding. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is willing to provide such surety and undertakings which may be required to protect the interest of the State during the pendency of the confiscation.

In the given facts and circumstances where vehicle in question is lying under open sky, losing its road worthiness, keeping in mind the interest of the State as well, we direct that pending finalization of the confiscation proceeding, the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on the petitioner's producing the document of ownership and registration in his name before the District Magistrate, Gaya (Confiscating Authority) with one local surety along with a Bank Guarantee to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:-

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.



(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle a Panchnama would be prepared by the confiscating authority wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future if so required it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.

Subject to the above conditions, following the views expressed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court and in tune with that, we dispose of this application.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 7 days from the date of submission of the local surety along with a Bank Guarantee and the undertakings as stated above. This would, however, be subject to the final order passed in confiscation proceeding.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

avin/-

U			
---	--	--	--

