

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.28684 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -80 Year- 2018 Thana -RAMGARH District- BHABHUA (KAIMUR)

=====

Dayalu Bind, S/o Telu Bind, R/o Village- Bhatuni , P.S.- Ramgarh, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Randhir Kumar No-1, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar 3, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 24-05-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Ramgarh P.S.**

Case No. 80 of 2018 instituted for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 324, 504, 307 and 354/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the written report there is allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted the informant with *Baisakhi* causing injury on his head.

The Sessions Judge has mentioned in the impugned order that injury sustained on the person of the injured was simple in nature.

It is mentioned in paragraph-3 of the bail petition that petitioner has clean antecedent.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,



prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Ramgarh P.S. Case No. 80 of 2018**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabua**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-
Rohit Kr.

U		T	
---	--	---	--

