

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.1432 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -222 Year- 2017 Thana -BELA District- SITAMARHI

- =====
1. Ranjit Ravi @ Ranjit Kumar Ravi,
 2. Prakash Chandra Ravi Both are Son of Mahesh Ravi @ Mahesh Prasad Ravi,
 3. Pushpa Ravi D/o Mahesh Ravi,
 4. Mahesh Ravi @ Mahesh Prasad Ravi S/o Late Godami Ravi, All Residence of Vill.- Naranga, P.S.- Bela, District- Sitamarhi.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Tewary, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Usha Kumari No.1, SPP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 05-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 03.04.2018 passed by the learned Special Judge (S.C./S.T. Act)-cum-Additional Sessions Judge-I, Sitamarhi, in A.B.P. No.586 of 2018, arising out of Bela Police Station Case No.222 of 2017, registered under Sections 341/323/354/379/504/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3(i)(s) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

There is case and counter case between the parties. Bela P.S. Case No.221 of 2017 was lodged by the appellant, wherein husband of the informant Ravi Shankar Paswan is also an accused.



Allegation is that the appellants were preventing the informant from entering the temple and for that reason they abused by taking caste name.

Submission is that just to pressurize in the counter case concocted allegation is there.

Considering the background and nature of allegation, let the appellants, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.20,000/- (Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court-below where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as condition that the appellants shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case, failing which the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the appellants.

Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal is allowed.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Mkr./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	11.07.2018
Transmission Date	11.07.2018

