

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.17686 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -100 Year- 2016 Thana -RUDRAPUR District- MADHUBANI

=====

1. Allaudhin Nadaf @ Alladdin Nadaf @ Allaudhin, Son of Gafur Nadaf,
2. Subhan Nadaf, Son of Tabdul Nadaf,
3. Samir Akhtar @ Samim Nadaf, Son of Gafur Nadaf, All resident of
Village- Gandhraeen P.S. Rudrapur District- Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hriday Narayan Harshit

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Nand Kishore Pd

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 25-04-2018 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises
out of Rudrapur Police Station Case No. 100 of 2016, disclosing
offences under Sections 341, , 342, 323, 353, 364 and 34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioners and others is that
co-accused person of this case called upon and took away the
son of the informant and when the informant went in search of
his son, he found that his son was tied up and was beaten by the
petitioners and others.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that though the petitioners are named in the F.I.R. as assailant to the son of the informant, however, the son of the informant in his statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has not named these petitioners.

Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the statement of the son of informant recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate –II, Jhunjharpur, in connection with Rudrapur Police Station Case No. 100 of 2016, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure., it is further subject to the condition that:-

- I. One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- II. The petitioners shall cooperate in the investigation and make themselves available



as and when required by the police and on the event on failure of their part to appear before the police on two consecutive dates, the prosecution will be free to move for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

