

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.34463 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2018 Thana- SISWAN District- Siwan

Vijay Yadav, Son of Late Rajdev Yadav, Resident of Village- Mura
Purshottam, P.S.- Siswan, District- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, Adv
For the State	:	Dr. Indivar Kumari, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Narendra Kumar, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 19-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned
counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for the
Informant.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Siswan P.S. Case No. 01 of
2018** registered for the offence punishable under Sections 323,
324, 307, 337 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is of causing injury
by knife on the abdomen, chest and right underarm of the
Informant.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
the injuries were found to be simple in nature which has come in
para 16 of the case diary. It has been further submitted that this
case is counter version of Complaint Case No. 49 of 2018.



Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 22.04.2018.

Learned counsel for the Informant as well as learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Siwan**, in connection with **Siswan P.S. Case No. 01 of 2018**, with following conditions:-

- (1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bond.
- (3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

