

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.40258 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -129 Year- 2018 Thana -RAJAULI District- NAWADA

=====

1. Md. Neyaz Ansari @ Md. Meyaz Ansari, Son of Khaja Mian,
2. Shabir Ansari, Son of Ansari Mian.
3. Mahmood Alam, Son of Talit Mian.
4. Raza Ansari @ Riza Ansari, Son of Hasan Ansari,
5. Nadim Ansar @ Nadim Ansari, Son of Ekram Ansari,
6. Ejaz Ansari, Son of Rayaj Ansari @ Reyaz Ansari @ Reyaz Ansari,
7. Jahangir Ansari, Son of Shamser Mian,
8. Shahnawaz Ansari, Son of Md. Akhtar Ansari,
9. Chand Ansari, Son of Meraj Ansari.
10. Ekram Ansari, Son of Azim Ansari
11. Parwej Ansari, Son of Manzoor Ansari,
12. Shahnawaz Ansari, Son of Sahab Ansari,
13. Aman Alam, Son of Shamsuddin Ansari.
14. Md. Qasim Ansari, Son of Nasir Mian,
15. Md. Qurban, Son of Rahm Miyan,
16. Md. Kailu, Son of Qaiyum Ansari, All resident of Village- Mananpur,
P.S.- Rajauli, District- Nawada.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tabish Sharfuddin
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Rakesh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 27-07-2018 This has come under the heading ‘To Be Mentioned’ at the instance of learned counsel for the petitioners stating that in the order dated 11.7.2018 name of one of the petitioners, i.e., Islam Ansari has been left out in the array of petitioners and in past paragraph of the said order the word ‘provisional’ has inadvertently been mentioned.

In the said circumstances, the order dated 11.7.2018



stands modified to the extent that in the array of petitioners name of one of the petitioners, Islam Ansari be also read along with the other petitioners and in place of ‘provisional’, ‘bail’ should be read.

Let this order be communicated to the court concerned forthwith.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spa/-

U			
---	--	--	--

