

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.373 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -83 Year- 2016 Thana -SC/ST District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

- =====
1. Mathura Singh, Son of Late Yugal Singh.
 2. Rabindra Kumar @ Rabindra Singh, Son of Balmiki Singh.
 3. Chandan Singh, Son of Ishwar Dayal Singh.
 4. Saroj Singh @ Saroj Kumar, Son of Mathura Singh, All are the Resident of Village- Aliganj, P.O.- Imirata, P.S.- Suryapura, District- Rohtas.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Smt Usha Kumari No-1, SPP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 29-03-2018 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Section 14(A) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram, in connection with SC/ST Dehri Police Station Case No.83 of 2016 registered under Sections 147/149/448/341/323/325/379/504/354 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3(i) (r)(s)(w)(i)(ii) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

The appellants allegedly committed abuse and assault inside the house of the informant by taking caste name.

Since no part of the occurrence took place in public



view, let the appellants, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.20,000/- (Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court-below where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as condition that the appellants shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case, failing which the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the appellants.

Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal is allowed.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Mkr./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

