

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.7925 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -38 Year- 2017 Thana -MAHILA P.S. District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

Rumesh Paswan @ Rupesh Paswan, S/o Late Lalan Paswan, R/o Village-
Jorwarpur, P.S.- Gorari, Distt.- Rohtas.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sandhya Devi, D/o Hiralal Paswan, R/o Barah Patthar, P.S.- Dehri,
District- Rohtas.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ajay Nandan Sahay, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Dr. Rabindra Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for
the offence registered under Sections 498(A), 341, 323, 406/34 of
the IPC and ¾ of the D.P. Act.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused
persons including the petitioner tortured the victim due to non-
fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There
is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the
petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present



case due to petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the learned counsel for the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M. Rohtas at Sasaram, in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 38 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)

