

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.8914 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -23 Year- 2011 Thana -DUMARIA District- GAYA

- =====
1. Rubi Kumari, daughter of Rajendra Prasad, resident of village- Sevara Tola Dahan, P.S.- Dumariya, District- Gaya,
 2. Arvind Kumar @ Arvind Prasad, son of late Balgovind Prasad, resident of village- Bhokha, P.S.- Bhadwar, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Suman Kumari Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3/ 22-03-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Dumariya (Magra) P.S. Case No.23 of 2011** instituted for the offence under Section(s) 304-B, 201/34 Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that petitioner no.1 is unmarried sister-in-law (Nanand) of the deceased and petitioner no.2 is distant relative of the deceased.

In the written report, it appears that there is general and omnibus allegation against both the petitioners.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within



six weeks from today in connection with **Dumariya (Magra)**
P.S. Case No.23 of 2011, they shall be released on anticipatory
bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each
with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the
Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sherghati, Gaya, subject
to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C.
with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having
sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court
concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be
present on each and every date fixed by the court and their
absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable
reason will be liable to cancel their bail bonds and (3) if
petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case,
in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for
cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

