

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.73420 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2018 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

Mukesh Kumar Mandal, son of Jai Chand Mandal, resident of village-
Dandkhora, police station- Dandkhora, District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Saroj Kumar Sharma, Advocate
	:	Mst. Kiran Kumari Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Satya Nand Shukla, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in
connection with Raniganj P.S. Case No. 220 of 2018 registered
for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 420,
498(A), 506, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of
D.P. Act.

Allegation against petitioner is committing torture
on informant for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. Marriage
was solemnized in the year 2016 and earlier a joint petition for
grant of divorce on mutual consent was filed by both the parties
but subsequently it was dismissed for non-appearance of
informant and thereafter petitioner has filed case for grant of



divorce which is pending before the Family Court, Katihar.
Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since
13.10.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of
the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon
furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two
sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned
Chief Judicial Magistrate, Araria, in connection with Raniganj
P.S. Case No. 220 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient
immovable property within the jurisdiction of the
court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall
be properly represented on each and every date fixed
by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates
without proper and sufficient reason the trial court
will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or
the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will
be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the
petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

