

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.70838 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-290 Year-2017 Thana- MOUZA HIDPUR District- Bhagalpur

Gubba Yadav @ Guddu Yadav @ Gabba Yadav, Son of Rajan Yadav @ Rajendra Yadav, Resident of Village- Sakrulla- Chak, P.S.- Babarganj, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Asharaf Ansari (APP)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Mojahidpur (Babarganj) P.S. Case No. 290 of 2017 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 384, 386, 387, 461, 379, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against petitioner is of demanding of Rs.50,000/- from the informant while he was in his poultry farm and also took away six chicken and cash of Rs. 10,000/-.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. No ransom was ever demanded by the petitioner. He is in custody 04.01.2018.



Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Bhagalpur in connection with Mojahidpur (Babarganj) P.S. Case No. 290 of 2017, subject to conditions:

- (1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2) Petitioner will co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.
- (3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/Manoj-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

