

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.64965 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -213 Year- 2017 Thana -KAUWAKOL District- NAWADA

=====

Lakhan Rajbanshi Son of Bishundhari Rajhbanshi Resident of Village-
Benipur,P.S. Kawakola,Distt.-Nawada

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anand Mohan Prasad Mehta, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in Kawakola (Rupaur) P.S. Case
No. 213/2017 corresponding to Sessions Trial No. 258/2018,
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148,
149, 341, 324, 307, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and
section 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegation against the petitioner and other accused
persons is that they made indiscriminate firing upon the informant
and family members which did not hit them. Chandan Yadav
made firing on the informant which hit near his chest.

It has been submitted that petitioner has falsely been
implicated in this case. There is omnibus and general allegation
against him. Specific allegation is against co-accused Chandan



Yadav.

Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. He is in custody since 20.04.2018.

Considering the facts aforesaid, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada in connection with Kawakole (Rupaur) P.S. Case No. 213/2017 corresponding to Sessions Trial No. 258/2018, subject to the conditions:

- (1.) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2.) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court.
- (3.) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

