

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.63238 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-54 Year-2018 Thana- ANDHRATHARHI District- Madhubani

Md. Jakir @ Bauka Kujara son of Bechan Kujara, resident of Village-
Jhanjharpur Nagar Panchayat Ward No. 12, P.S. Jhanjharpur, District-
Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 26-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection
with Andhratharhi P.S. Case No. 54 of 2018 registered for the
offences punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal
Code.

FIR was registered against two unknown persons.
Name of petitioner has surfaced in this case on the basis of
confessional statement of co-accused Md. Nastai who has
already been granted bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated
10.09.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 42288 of 2018. No
incriminating material has been recovered from his possession
and a mobile which was recovered from his house belongs to



the petitioner. Petitioner till now has not been put on TIP and he is in custody since 14.06.2018.

Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. IV, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani, in connection with Andhratharhi P.S. Case No. 54 of 2018 subject to the condition that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every dated fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/banti-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

