

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.41731 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1637 Year-2015 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Jamui

Santosh Mandal, Son of Om Prakash Mandal, Resident of Village Digghi,
P.S. Laxmipur, District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Shobha Kumari, D/o Naresh Tanti, R/o Village Digghi, P.S. Laxmipur,
District- Jamui.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Niranjana Parihar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Indu Kumari Srivastava, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in Complaint Case
No. 1637 (C) of 2015 instituted for the offence under Sections
354,379/34 of the IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
instant case is counter blast of Complaint Case No. 1629 of
2015 filed from the petitioner side earlier to the instant case. In
the instant case there is general and omnibus allegation that this
petitioner attempted to commit illegal act with informant.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is
allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner, named above, in the
event of his arrest or surrender in the court below within six
weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order,



shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Complaint Case No. 1637 (C) of 2015 to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jamui, subject to condition as laid down u/S 438 (2) of the Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) (bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every dated fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bonds of the petitioner and (3) if the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

