

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.38742 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -177 Year- 2018 Thana -BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
BEGUSARAI

=====

Prince Kumar S/o Pankaj Kumar @ Pankaj Singh, R/o Vill.- Pansalla, P.S.-
Muffasil (Lakho), District- Begusarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surendra Kishore Thakur

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Uday Pratap Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL
ORAL ORDER

2 10-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Muffasil
(Lakho) P.S. Case No. 177 of 2018 for offences punishable under
Sections 392 and 411 of the Indian Penal Code.

The prosecution case, as lodged by the informant, is
that while he was going to the doctor along with his two sisters in
a motorcycle due to heavy traffic Jam because of protestors of
reservation issue, the informant got down from his motorcycle and
three miscreants snatched the motorcycle and fled away but
because of traffic jam petitioner was apprehended while the other
managed to flee away.



It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that he is innocent, bears no criminal history and has been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case. He submits that nothing has been recovered from his possession and the allegations are concocted. He further submits that he is ready to cooperate in the investigation and not to tamper with the prosecution witnesses.

However, learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances and the materials on record, let petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Begusarai in connection with Muffasil (Lakho) P.S. Case No. 177 of 2018, subject to the conditions that:

- (1) One of the bailors would be a close relative of the petitioner having sufficient immovable property, who will file an affidavit stating his relationship with the petitioner.



(2) If the petitioner indulges in an offence of similar nature in future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move the learned court below for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

