

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.22394 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -11 Year- 2016 Thana -ARIYARI District- SEKHPURA

=====

Ramesh Yadav, son of Late Sukhdeo Yadav, resident of village-
Husainabad, P.S.- Ariari, District Sheikhpura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Prasad Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Virendra Narayan

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-04-2018 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as
well as the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner wants to renew his prayer for bail which was earlier rejected by order dated 13.04.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 12763 of 2017, on the ground that the petitioner is suffering in custody since 09.01.2017, charges have already been framed but up till now only one prosecution witness has been examined and in near future the trial is not likely to be concluded. Further similarly situated co-accused Pappu Yadav, whose prayer for bail was earlier rejected, now has been allowed bail by order dated 12.04.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 13147 of 2017 by another co-ordinate Bench of this Court and the case of the petitioner is on the same footing as against the petitioner also there



is no specific allegation and the petitioner has also got no criminal antecedent.

The learned A.P.P. fairly submits that similarly situated co-accused Pappu Yadav has been allowed bail by another co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering that the trial has not been concluded within the time granted by this Court and further similarly situated co-accused Pappu Yadav has already been allowed bail and as such the petitioner above named, is also directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of A.D.J. 1st, Sheikhpura in connection with Sessions Trial No. 70 of 2017 arising out of Ariari P.S. Case No. 11 of 2016, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

Abhay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

