

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.15632 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -11 Year- 2017 Thana -MAHUA District- VAISHALI(HAJIPUR)

=====

Binod Kumar Singh @ Binod Singh, Son of Shri Manki Singh, Resident of
Village- Chakkanijam, P.O.+P.S.- Mahua, District- Vaishali.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Ojha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sir Pramod Kumar Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN
PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

3 28-03-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel representing the State.

It appears that the prayer of the petitioner for grant of
regular bail was earlier rejected by this Court finding that he is
said to be the direct assailant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has once again
placed before this Court a copy of the order dated 15.9.2017
passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Cr. Misc.
No.36439 of 2017 (Baidnath Singh vs. The State of Bihar) and
submits that the petitioner Baidnath Singh in the said case has
been enlarged on bail even though there are allegations of firing
against him as well.



Learned counsel representing the State has pointed out from the order dated 15.9.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No.36439 of 2017 that in fact Baidnath Singh was granted regular bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court only when it was found that the inquest report bears one injury on the parietal region which is specifically attributed to the present petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances once again, I find that there is specific allegation against this petitioner and in fact the inquest report also supports the allegation made in the F.I.R. I do not find any reason to take a different view.

The bail application is rejected.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

N.H./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

