

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1972 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -170 Year- 2017 Thana -GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP. District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

Harilal Ram, Son of Kamal Ram, Resident of Village- Manchagwa, P.S.-
Gobardhana, District- West Champaran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vijay Kr Singh No. 1, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 12-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 27.11.2017 in connection with I.F. Case No. 170 of 2017 for the offences alleged under Sections 33, 41, 42 of the I.F. Act and 2, 7, 17, 27, 29, 31, 50 and 51 of the Wild Life Protection Act.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in connection with illegal cutting of *sheesham* tree by axe and nothing incriminating articles has been recovered from the petitioner's possession who was arrested at the spot. The petitioner has already been in custody for a period of about one and half months.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-



(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 1st Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bagaha, West Champaran, in connection with I.F. Case No. 170 of 2017, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

