

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6481 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -948 Year- 2014 Thana -MADHEPURA COMPALINT CASE District-
MADHEPURA

=====

Manoj Mukhiya, Son of Sri Ramotar Mukhiya, Resident of Village-
Kusthani, P.S.- Uda- Kishunganj, District- Madhepura.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mala Devi, Wife of Manoj Mukhya, Resident of Village- Kushthani,
P.S.- Udakishunganj, District- Madhepura.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dinesh Prasad Verma

For the Opposite Party : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 08-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 09.11.2017 in
connection with Complaint Case No. 948 of 2014 for the offences
alleged under Sections 498-A of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated and the accusations against the petitioner are general
and omnibus in nature apart from being improbable as the parties
were married as far back as in the year 1995 and the demand of
dowry is said to have been made 10 years thereafter. The
petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of
the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above



named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Madhepura, in connection with Complaint Case No. 948 of 2014, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

