

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.995 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-300 Year-2017 Thana- DALSINGHSARAI District- Samastipur

Shekhar Yadav, Son of Late Mukh Lal Yadav, Resident of Village- Jurabganj,
Gerabari, P.S.- Kordha, District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL
ORAL ORDER

3 12-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner is languishing in judicial
custody since 19.09.2017 in connection with
Dalsingsarai P.S. Case No. 300 of 2017 registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 379, 511 and
414/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The prosecution case, as lodged by the
police personnel, is that the petitioner was caught
along with stolen motorcycle, who was waiting near
the bank premises and confessed that he used to take
away the cash, which was taken out by the customers
from the bank. Accordingly, a seizure-list was
prepared.



It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case. He submits that charge-sheet has already been submitted and that the petitioner has a clean antecedent.

However, learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail stating therein that five months back, motorcycle of one Dilip Rai, resident of Saran district was stolen, which was found in possession of the petitioner, although number has been changed.

Considering the facts and circumstances and the materials on record, let the petitioner, named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Dalsingsarai, Samastipur in connection with Dalsingsarai P.S. Case No. 300 of 2017, subject to the condition that:

(1) Both the bailors would be close relative of the petitioner having sufficient immovable



properties, who will file affidavit stating their relationship with the petitioner.

(Nilu Agrawal, J.)

Arjun/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

