

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.2264 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -186 Year- 2017 Thana -SALIMPUR District- PATNA

=====

1. Dinesh Yadav, Son of Rajendra Yadav, R/o Village- Salimpur (Yadav Patty)
South Tola, P.S.- Salimpur, District- Patna.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Shyama Kant Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Usha Kumari, SPP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 04-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 07.06.2018 passed by learned Special Judge S.C./S.T. Act-cum-Additional Sessions Judge-V, Patna in A.B.P. No. 3914 of 2018, arising out of Salimpur Police Station Case No.186 of 2017, registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section and 3(i)w/3(i)(R) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

The FIR prima facie discloses commission of offence



under the provisions of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act. There is no material to substantiate malicious prosecution. Hence, in my view, there is no merit in this appeal against refusal of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the appeal stands dismissed as devoid of any merit.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Mkr./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	05.10.2018
Transmission Date	05.10.2018

