

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16299 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -276 Year- 2017 Thana -SINGHESHWARASHTHAN District -
MADHEPURA

- =====
1. Guneshwar Sah, son of late Munilal Sah,
 2. Suresh Sah, son of late Yugeshwar Sah @ Late Yogendra Sah, both
resident of village- Saropatti, P.S.- Singheshwar, District- Madhepura.
.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3/ 09-05-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Singheshwar**
P.S. Case No.276 of 2017 instituted for the offence under
Section(s) 147, 148 149, 341, 323, 447, 307, 379, 504, and 506
Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner
No.1 is merely order giver and petitioner No.2 is alleged to have
assaulted with butt of .303 on the head and face of the informant
causing injury on head and lips.

Injury Report has been enclosed as Annexure-3 and
3/1. Injuries on the person of the Informant and his father are



simple in nature.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Singheshwar P.S. Case No.276 of 2017**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Madhepura**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bonds and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

