

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.24224 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -126 Year- 2012 Thana -KONCH District- GAYA

=====

1. Pramila Devi, W/o Late Badri Pathak,

2. Niranjan Pathak,

3. Vinit Pathak

Both Sons of Late Badri Pathak,

All R/o Vill.- Tarari, P.S.- Konch, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar, Advocate

Smt. Kiran Sinha, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 31-08-2018

In a case inter alia under Section 304B of the Indian Penal Code if the prosecution case was closed without examining the informant and some other witnesses and a petition was filed under Section 311 of the Code of Criminal Procedure on behalf of the prosecution at a subsequent stage and the same has been allowed by the trial court in order to arrive at a just decision of the case, I see no illegality in the order impugned.

2. Accordingly, this application preferred under



Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure challenging the order dated 25.01.2018 passed by the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-IX, Gaya in Konch P.S. Case No.126 of 2012 is dismissed.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

Sanjeet/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	01.09.2018
Transmission Date	01.09.2018

