

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.74205 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2017 Thana- DAWATH District- Rohtas

=====

Pappu Paswan Son of Narad Paswan, resident of Village- Makundpur, Police Station- Charpokhari, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Chandani Devi W/o Pappu Paswan, D/o Hirda Paswan, resident of Village- Koaath, Police Station- Dawath, District- Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Sunil Kumar Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 13-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Dawath P.S. Case No. 82 of 2017** registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 324, 307, 448, 506, 498A of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the D.P. Act.

Allegation against petitioner is of torturing the Informant due to non fulfillment of demand of dowry and also trying to kill her by giving knife blow.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is ready to keep his Informant-wife with full dignity and honour. It has been further submitted that the injury sustained by the Informant is not on the vital part of the body. There is delay of 15 days in lodging the FIR. Petitioner is in custody since



27.06.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate-Bikramganj**, in connection with **Dawath P.S. Case No. 82 of 2017**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

