

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.2361 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -174 Year- 2015 Thana -AGAMKUAN District- PATNA

=====

1. Manoranjan Kumar @ Manoranjan Singh S/o Sri Sardha Nand Mehta, R/o Gangababu Ki Theki, P.S.- Chowk, Patna City, Patna, District-Patna.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Shashi Chandra Pandey, Adv

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Binay Krishna, SPP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 16-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Sections 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, against refusal of the prayer for anticipatory bail by order dated 18.05.2018 in A.B.P. No.2640 of 2018 passed by the learned Special Judge, SC/ST Act-cum-Addl. Sessions Judge-V, Patna in connection with Agam Kuan P.S.Case No. 174 of 2015 (Special Case No.11 of 2018) registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 387, 379, 504, 506, 420, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code as well as under Sections 3(i)(x) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act.

Land dispute is reason behind the occurrence. There is no specific allegation against the appellant. Appellant has got



no criminal antecedent.

Hence, let the appellant, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000 (Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and both bailors shall be resident of territorial jurisdiction of the learned court below and further the appellant shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case, failing which the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the appellant.

Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and this appeal stands allowed.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Nitesh/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	18.08.2018
Transmission Date	18.08.2018

