

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.511 of 2017

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11853 of 2017

- =====
1. The Union of India through the General Manager, East Central Railway, Hajipur, District - Vaishali (Bihar).
 2. The General Manager (Personnel), East Central Railway, Hajipur, District - Vaishali (Bihar).
 3. The Divisional Railway Manager, East Central Railway, Sonapur, P.O. - Sonapur, District - Saran (Bihar).
 4. The Senior Divisional Operating Manager, East Central Railway, Sonapur, P.O. - Sonapur, District - Saran (Bihar).
 5. The Senior Divisional Personnel Officer, East Central Railway, Sonapur, P.O. - Sonapur, District - Saran (Bihar).
 6. The Assistant Railway Operating Manager, East Central Railway, Sonapur, P.O. - Sonapur, District - Saran (Bihar).
 7. The Station Superintendent, East Central Railway, Shitalpur (Bihar).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Kali Nath Rai Son of Rama Nand Rai working as Substitute (Group-D) under Station Superintendent, East Central Railway, Shitalpur (Bihar).

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr. Anand Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)

Date: 30-07-2018

Petitioners are seeking review of the order dated 20.11.2017 passed in C.W.C.J. No.11853 of 2017.

In course of hearing of the application, learned counsel representing the petitioners is unable to point out any error of record, all that have been tried to be argued are in the nature of seeking reconsideration of the entire writ application which we decline to do



considering the scope and ambit of the review jurisdiction as enunciated by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of **SASI (DEAD) through Legal Representatives vs. Aravindakshan Nair and Ors.** reported in **(2017) 4 S.C.C 692.**

We are, however, inclined to accept the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners to waive the imposition of cost of Rs.50,000/- as have been ordered earlier. Save and except that we delete the imposition of cost of Rs.50,000/-, finding no merit the review application is dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/R.R.Ojha

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	01.08.2018
Transmission Date	

