

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.508 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.09 of 2017

=====

Manoj Kumar son of Sitaram Mahto resident of village - Rahima, P.S. Barh,
District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The District Teachers Appointment Appellate Authority, Patna through its Secretary.
4. The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. The District Programme Officer, Patna.
6. The Block Education Officer, Belchhi, District Patna.
7. The Gram Panchayat Sarkati P.S. Belchhi, District Patna through the Panchayat Secretary.
8. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Sarkati, District - Patna.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Sarkati, District - Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Verma
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey (Aag 15)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

5 31-10-2018 The Court does not find any patent error for review of the order dated 17.11.2017 as the petitioner wants to argue the case as second innings. Such kind of indulgence is impermissible under Civil Review.

The Civil Review application does not merit any consideration and the same is dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

