

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.20423 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -185 Year- 2005 Thana -PAROO District- MUZAFFARPUR

- =====
1. Gayatri Devi, W/o Dhaneshwar Singh,
 2. Niru Kumari, D/o Dhaneshwar Singh, Both R/o Village- Bhikhanpura,
P.S.- Paroo, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

5/ 02-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Paroo P.S.**

Case No.185 of 2005 instituted for the offence under Section(s)
306/34 Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that petitioner No.1 is mother-in-law and petitioner No.2 is sister-in-law (Nanad) of the deceased. Husband of the deceased has faced trial and he has already been acquitted. None of the witnesses have supported the case.

In the written report, there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Paroo P.S. Case No.185 of 2005**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate, 1st class, Muzaffarpur**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bonds and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

