

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.387 of 2018

=====

1. Lalit Ram, Son of Late Sukhdeo Ram resident of Village - Pataura, P.O.
+ P.S. - Motihari Muffasil, District - East Champaran.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Parma Mahto, Son of Chandrika Mahto
3. Sharda Devi, wife of Chandrika Mahto
4. Chandrika Mahto, son of Baldeo Mahto
5. Sarswati Devi, wife of Chandrika Mahto All are residents of village -
Pataura, P.S. - Muffasil , District - East Champaran.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Pravin Kumar-Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan-S.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

08 04-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the appellant as well as
learned Special Public Prosecutor.

Supplementary affidavit has been filed on behalf of
appellant, taken on record.

Respondent Nos.2 to 5 have been acquitted under
the S.C./S.T. (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, which has irked the
appellant, whereupon challenged the judgment under present
appeal. Although, the Respondent Nos.2 to 5 have been found
guilty for other offences and further, they have been let off in
accordance with Section 3 of the Probation of Offenders Act.
Occurrence is of the Year 2003 and the learned lower Court had
dealt with the deficiency at the end of the prosecution under Para-



11 of the judgment, which learned counsel for the appellant failed to meet nor been able to controvert.

That being so, there happens to be no cogent reason to interfere with the finding relating thereto by the learned lower Court. As such, instant memo of appeal is rejected.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J)

Vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

