

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.58975 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -185 Year- 2017 Thana -KAKO District- JEHANABAD

=====

Ranjit Sao @ Karan Kumar son of Sarju Prasad, resident of Village Mai,
P.S. Kako (Bhelawar) in the district of Jehanabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Navin Sharma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Nirala

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

3 04-01-2018 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Kako
(Bhelawar) PS case no. 185 of 2017 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 341, 323, 325, 307, 307,504/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

The allegation, according to the informant, is that in view of
acquisition of the land, huge amount of compensation was
received and the same was deposited in account of the mother of
the informant, who also happens to be the mother of the accused
persons. It has been further alleged that when the informant
demanded his share of money, he was denied the same and on
account of such demand on the part of the informant for a share in
the compensation money, all the accused persons had connived



together and beaten the informant side, resulting in grievous injury to the informant.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the most of the accused persons are full brother of the informant and on account of demand of compensation money, quarrel had taken place between the informant's side and the accused side. It is further submitted that there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons and no specific overt act has been attributed to the petitioner herein. It is also submitted that the petitioner has a clean antecedent

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as also the fact that the informant and most of the accused persons are full brothers and dispute is purely a family dispute, it would be in the interest of justice to admit the petitioner to the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest or surrender within six weeks, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad in connection with Kako (Bhelawar) PS case no. 185 of 2017, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of



Code of Criminal Procedure.

However, it is made clear that in case any such incident, as alleged in the FIR, comes to light in future, the informant would be free to move for cancellation of bail.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

BTiwary/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

