

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.66143 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-277 Year-2018 Thana- KHAJANCHI HAT District- Purnia

Ravi Thakur Son of Binod Thakur Resident of Mohalla Janta Chowk, Police
Station K.Hat, Distt.-Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Viveka Nandsingh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Harendra Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 03-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with K. Hat P.S. Case No. 277 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 399, 402 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25 [1-b] a, 26, 35 of Arms Act.

Informant who is the police officer in his written report has stated that on receiving secret information that some miscreants have assembled to commit some grave crime, he reached on the said place and on seeing police, eight persons fled away and three were apprehended at the place of occurrence from whom Arms and Ammunition were recovered. The name of petitioner has been disclosed by one of the co-accused Rahul Yadav who was



apprehended at the place of occurrence.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from his possession and he is in custody since 05.07.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnea, in connection with K. Hat P.S. Case No. 277 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

