

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.59137 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-285 Year-2018 Thana- JAGDISHPUR District- Bhojpur

Manjeet Singh, Son of Ramnath Singh, Resident of village- Simraon
(Barhara), Police Station- Charpokhari, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukul Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Rajeev Nayan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

4 02-11-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 285 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 364/120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant has alleged in the FIR that his son Manoj Yadav and one relative Ramsurat Yadav left their house on a Hero Honda motorcycle without number. When the informant tried to contact his son, his mobile was found to be switched off. He suspected that his son and relative might have been kidnapped.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is not named in the FIR. His name has surfaced during the course of investigation only on suspicion. He has been



falsely implicated in this case. There is no direct or indirect evidence against petitioner. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 11.08.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. XI, Bhojpur at Ara, in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 285 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be represented on each and every date fixed by the court.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

