

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.57413 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -138 Year- 2018 Thana -KUDHNI District- MUZAFFARPUR

=====

1. Ram Nath Mahto S/o Ram Pravesh Mahto, R/o Vill.- Ladaura, P.S.-
Sadar, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Kudhani P. S. Case No. 138 of 2018, registered for offences
punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation as per F.I.R. against the petitioner is that
the petitioner along with some unknown persons looted the
vehicle of the informant after giving intoxicating tablet to the
informant and threw him in the way but later on with the help of
GRPS, vehicle has been traced with goods.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner
is that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and
the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and except the
confessional statement of co-accused, there is nothing recovered
from the possession of the petitioner and he is in custody since



24.05.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-III, Muzaffarpur in connection with Kudhani P.S.Case No. 138 of 2018, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- iii) It is made clear that if petitioner found involved in any of the like offences, in future, prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

