

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.60134 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-13 Year-2016 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Samastipur

Chandan Pandit, S/o Nirdhan Pandit, R/o Vill.- Bhiraha, P.S.- Rosera,
District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Malti Devi, W/o Chandan Pandit and D/o Ram Sudhir Pandit, R/o Vill.-
Shripur Mauan, P.S. + Post - Singhia, District- Samastipur.

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Jitendra Narain Sinha, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, A.P.P.
For O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Nagadeo Choubey, Advocate.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 29-11-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party
no. 2.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for
the offence registered under Sections 341, 323, 498(A), 504/34
of the IPC and $\frac{3}{4}$ of the D. P. Act.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused
persons including the petitioner tortured the victim due to non-
fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the
present case due to petty family dispute. There is no allegation



of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The informant and her family members are in habit of instituting similar cases against the petitioner and his family members. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the learned counsels for the State and opposite party no. 2, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. 2nd, Samastipur, in connection with Samastipur Mahila P.S. Case No. 13 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of



reconciliation or one time settlement.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

