

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.58679 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -214 Year- 2013 Thana -NARPATGANJ District- ARRARIA

=====

Raju Yadav @ Raju Kumar Yadav @ Raj Kumar Yadav @ Raju S/o Late
Dilip Yadav, R/o Vill.- Ramghat Koshakapur, P.S.- Narpatganj, District-
Araria.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhijeet Gautam

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Anand Mohan Prasad Mehta

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 12-10-2018 Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with S. T.
No.253 of 2015 arising out of Narpatganj P.S. Case No.214 of
2013 registered for offences punishable under Sections 302/34 of
the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation as per FIR is assault of the deceased by the
petitioner and there is also allegation of another five accused
person who assaulted the deceased.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that
informant is not eye witness and no witnesses have been stated
about the assault by the petitioner though the allegation of assault
is against other accused persons. Petitioner is custody for more
than 3 years eight months.



Heard learned A.P.P. also who has opposed the prayer for bail stating that there is allegation of assault and impugned order itself shows that trial is in progress and eight weeks have already examined in this case.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, however, learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and try to conclude the same as soon as possible.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sanjeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

