

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10717 of 2017

Arvind Kumar son of Late Banshi Singh resident of village - Walipur, P.O. Bhagwanpur, P.S. Hulasganj, District Jehanabad (Retired Assistant Teacher, Adarsh Madhya Vidyalaya, Ratanpura, Islampur, District - Nalanda.)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Education Officer, Nalanda at Biharsharif.
3. The District Programme Officer, Nalanda at Biharsharif.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharmendra Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey-Aag15

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ application is non-consideration of his case for promotion in terms of Rule-7 of the Promotion Rules, 2011.

Without going into the factual issue, the writ petition is disposed of with direction to the respondents namely, District Education Officer and District Programme Officer (Establishment), Nalanda, Biharsharif to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of Rule 7 of 2011 of promotion rule and take final decision within a maximum period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

It goes without saying that respondents have to work



out the consequential benefit, if payable to the petitioner on account of such promotion and if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to promotion the consequential benefit has to be paid within the time frame indicated hereinabove.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

