

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Criminal Miscellaneous No.49392 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -27 Year- 2018 Thana -GAYGHAT District- MUZAFFARPUR

=====

1. Subham Kumar Singh, Son of Sri Bipin Singh, Resident of Village-  
Narpatinagar, Police Station- Sakri, District- Madhubani.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Prasad Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA**

ORAL ORDER

3      03-10-2018                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
Gaighat P. S. Case No. 27 of 2018, registered for offences  
punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per F.I.R., allegation against the petitioner is that  
the petitioner along with other miscreants stolen the pick-up van  
of the informant after giving intoxicating medicine to the  
informant and fled away with vehicle and also snatched other  
articles from the informant and thrown him near Jaran Chowk.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner  
is that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and later on, the  
confession of the petitioner himself, he has been made accused in



this case but nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and he is in custody since 11.02.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- ( Rupees Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate- 15, Muzaffarpur in connection with Gaighat P.S.Case No. 27 of 2018, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- iii) It is made clear that if petitioner found involved in any of the like offences, in future, prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

**(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)**

Sudha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

