

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.40718 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -308 Year- 2009 Thana -AURANGABAD TOWN District-
AURANGABAD

=====

Dhiraj Prasad @ Dhiraj Kumar Prasad, Son of Ram Niwas Prasad, Resident
of Village – Ksmagarh, P.S – Gomia, District – Bokaro, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Brajendra Nath Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 25-09-2018 The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with

Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 308/2009, registered for offences

punishable under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468 and 34 of the Indian

Penal Code.

Allegation as per F.I.R is that informant got the insurance

of eight persons done and the receipt for the same was given and

the bond of the said insurance was to be given in 45 days but after

much persuasion, the bond was not given and when the informant

approached the office of the accused persons to get the bonds, he

was misbehaved and bond papers were not given to him.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is

not named in the F.I.R and has falsely been made accused in this

case and it is not so that he has done the insurance of any person



and he only happens to be employee of the alleged insurance company. So far criminal antecedent is concerned, he has been made accused one after another case and he has been remanded in this case on 28.05.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Considering the aforementioned facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000, (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge –I, Aurangabad, in connection with Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 308/2009, with following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the



court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

