

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.952 of 2018

=====

M/s Radhika Polisher Rice Mill, Borrower Dhananjay Pandey, S/o Sri Sumeshwar Pandey, at present R/o village- Chakkanbagh (Amba), P.S.- Kutumba, District- Aurangabad. permanent address R/o Village- Biraj Bigha, P.O.- Deshpur, P.S.- Amba, District- Aurangabad (Bihar).

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Aurangabad, Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Aurangabad, Bihar.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad, Bihar.
4. The Regional Manager, Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank Aurangabad Region, Bihar.
5. The Manager, Lead Bank, Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank, Maharajganj, Bihar.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Amarendra Kumar singh, Advocate.
For the Respondents : Mr. Ajay kr. Rastogi, AAG-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-02-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of an appropriate writ preferably in the nature of 'Mandamus' commanding upon the respondent authorities to fix instalments for recovery of the amount due to the petitioner towards loan taken by the petitioner from Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank, Maharajganj, Bihar.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice if the petitioner's representation dated 23.11.2017 (Annexure-4) filed before the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Aurangabad, Bihar (Respondent No. 2) is directed to be disposed of, in which event, the present writ petition need not be



pressed on merits.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has been heard.

4. Having regard to the nature of the grievances and the stand of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Aurangabad, Bihar (Respondent No. 2)to consider and dispose of the petitioner’s representation dated 23.11.2017 (Annexure-4), if the same is pending before him, after grant of opportunity of hearing to the petitioner in accordance with law expeditiously and preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this judgment.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	22.02.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

